Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/678,193	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	L. E. Crane	1623	

All Participants:

(1) *L. E. Crane*.

(2) *Richard S. Barth*.

Status of Application: *Response to FAOM filed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *24 July 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant was advised that the sole remaining issue was the term "at least one." Applicant agreed to substitute the term – a – therefore by examiner's amendment and was advised that claims 1-12 were now allowable and would be passed to issue.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)